

AVL Trees

Κ08 Δομές Δεδομένων και Τεχνικές Προγραμματισμού

Κώστας Χατζηκοκολάκης

1

Balanced trees

- We saw that most of the algorithms in BSTs are $O(h)$
 - But $h = O(n)$ in the worst-case
- So it makes sense to keep trees “**balanced**”
 - Many different ways to define what “balanced” means
 - In all of them: $h = O(\log n)$
- Eg. **complete** are one type of balanced tree (see Heaps)
 - But it's hard to maintain both BST and complete properties together
- **AVL**: a different type of balanced trees


2

AVL Trees

- An AVL tree is a BST with an extra property:
 - For **all nodes**: $|\text{height}(\text{left-subtree}) - \text{height}(\text{right-subtree})| \leq 1$
- In other words, no subtree can be much shorter/taller than the other
- Recall: **height** is the longest path from the root to some leaf
 - tree with only a root: height 0
 - empty tree: height -1
- Named after Russian mathematicians Adelson-Velskii and Landis


3

Example – AVL tree




4

Example – AVL tree




5

Example – AVL tree




6

Example – Non-AVL tree




7

Example – Non-AVL tree



8

Example – Non AVL tree



9

The desired property

- In an AVL tree: $h = O(\log n)$
 - Proving this is not hard
- $n(h)$: **minimum number of nodes** of an AVL tree with height h
- We show that $h \leq 2 \log n(h)$
 - by **induction on h**
 - induction works very well on recursive structures!
- The base cases hold trivially (why?)
 - $n(0) = 1$
 - $n(1) = 2$

10

The desired property

- Inductive step
 - Assume $\frac{h}{2} \leq \log n(h)$ for all $h < k$
 - Show that it holds for an AVL tree of height $h = k$
- **Both subtrees** of the root have height at least $h - 2$
 - because of the AVL property!
 - So $n(k) \geq 2n(k - 2)$ (1)
- Induction hypothesis for $h = k - 2$
 - $\frac{k-2}{2} \leq \log n(k - 2)$
- From (1) we take \log on both sides and apply the ind. hypothesis
 - $\log n(k) \geq 1 + \log n(k - 2) \geq 1 + \frac{k-2}{2} = \frac{k}{2}$

11

Balance factor

A node can have one of the following “balance factors”

Balance factor	Meaning
-	Sub-trees have equal heights
/	Left sub-tree is 1 higher
//	Left sub-tree is > 1 higher
\	Right sub-tree is 1 higher
\\	Right sub-tree is > 1 higher

Nodes -, /, \ are AVL.

Nodes //, \\ are not AVL.


12

Example AVL Tree




13

Example AVL Tree




14

Example AVL Tree




15

Example AVL Tree




16

Example AVL Tree




17

Example non-AVL Tree




18

Example non-AVL Tree




19

Example non-AVL Tree



20

Example non-AVL Tree



21

Operations in an AVL Tree

- Same as those of a BST
- Except that we need to **restore** the AVL property
 - after **inserting** a node
 - or **deleting** a node
- We do this using **rotations**

22

Recursive AVL restore

- Restoring the AVL property is a **recursive** operation
- It happens during an insert or delete
 - Which are both recursive
 - When their recursive calls are **unwinding** towards the root
- So when we restore a node r , its **children** are already restored **AVL trees**


23

AVL restore after insert

- Assume r became $\backslash\backslash$ after an insert (the case $//$ is symmetric)
- Let x be the **root** of the **right subtree**
 - The new value was inserted under x (since r is $\backslash\backslash$)
- What can be the **balance factor** of x ?
 - $\backslash\backslash$ and $//$ are not possible since the child x is **already restored**
- Case 1: x is \backslash
 - A **left-rotation** on r restores the property!
 - Both r and x become $-$ (easily seen in a drawing)

24

Insert: single left rotation at r




25

AVL restore after insert

- Case 2: x is $/$
 - This is more tricky
 - A left-rotation on r (as before) might cause x to become $//$
- We need to do a **double** right-left rotation
 - First **right-rotation** on x
 - Then **left-rotation** on r
- The left-child w of x becomes the new root
 - w becomes $-$
 - r becomes $-$ or $/$
 - x becomes $-$ or \backslash

26

Insert: double right-left rotation at x and r



27

AVL restore after insert

- Case 3: x is $-$
- This in fact **cannot happen!**
 - Assume both subtrees of x have height h
 - Then the left subtree of r also must have height (h)
 - Otherwise AVL would be violated **before** the insert (see the drawings)


28

Symmetric case

- The case when x becomes $//$ is **symmetric**
- We need to consider the BF of its **left-child** x
 - x is $/$: we do a **single right** rotation at r
 - x is \backslash : we do a **double left-right** rotation at x and r
 - x is $-$: **impossible**


29

Insert: single right rotation at r



30

Insert: double left-right rotation at x and r




31

Insert example




32

Insert example




Inserting BRU, causes single right-rotate at ORY

Insert example




Inserting DUS

Insert example




Inserting ZRH

Insert example



Inserting MEX


Insert example



Inserting ORD

32

Insert example



Inserting NRT, causes double right-left rotation at ORD and MEX


32

AVL restore after delete

- Assume r became $\backslash\backslash$ after delete (the case $//$ is symmetric)
- Let x be the **root** of the **right-subtree**
 - The value was deleted from the left sub-tree (since r is $\backslash\backslash$)
- What can be the **balance factor** of x ?
 - $\backslash\backslash$ and $//$ are not possible since the child x is **already restored**
- Case 1: x is \backslash
 - A **left-rotation** on r restores the property!
 - Both r and x become $-$ (easily seen in a drawing)

33

Delete: single left-rotation at r




34

AVL restore after delete

- Case 2: x is $-$
 - After a **delete** this is possible!
 - A **left-rotation** on r again restores the property
 - r becomes \backslash , x becomes $/$

35

Delete: single left-rotation at r




36

AVL restore after delete

- Case 3: x is $/$
 - This is more tricky
 - A left-rotation on r (as before) might cause x to become $//$
- We need to do a **double** right-left rotation
 - First **right-rotation** on x
 - Then **left-rotation** on r
- The left-child w of x becomes the new root
 - w becomes $-$
 - r becomes $-$ or $/$
 - x becomes $-$ or \backslash


37

Delete: double right-left rotation at r




38

Delete example



39


Delete example



Deleting a, causes single left-rotate at d

39

Delete example



Deleting m, causes double left-right rotation at d and h

39

Complexity of operations on AVL trees

- Search on BST is $O(h)$
 - So $O(\log n)$ for AVL, since $h \leq 2 \log n$
- Insert/delete on BST is $O(h)$
 - We add at most one rotation at each step, each rotation is $O(1)$
 - So also $O(\log n)$
- Interesting fact
 - During insert **at most one rotation** will be performed!
 - Because both rotations we saw **decrease** the height of the sub-tree

40

Implementation details

- We need to keep the **height** of each subtree
 - to compute the balance factors
 - If we need to save memory we can store **only** the balance factors
- Restoring after both insert and delete are similar
 - We can treat them together

Readings

- T. A. Standish. *Data Structures, Algorithms and Software Principles in C*. Chapter 9. Section 9.8.
- R. Kruse, C.L. Tondo and B. Leung. *Data Structures and Program Design in C*. Chapter 9. Section 9.4.
- M.T. Goodrich, R. Tamassia and D. Mount. *Data Structures and Algorithms in C++*. 2nd edition. Section 10.2